ORIGINAL



1

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

2

3

COMMISSIONERS

BOB STUMP - Chairman GARY PIERCE BRENDA BURNS

BOB BURNS SUSAN BITTER SMITH Arizona Corporation Commission

DOCKETED

OCT 2 1 2013

DOCKETED BY

RECEIVED

2013 OCT 21 P 1: 03

AZ CORP COMMISSION DOCKET CONTROL

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

5

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF TRUXTON CANYON WATER COMPANY, INC. FOR APPROVAL OF A RATE INCREASE.

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF TRUXTON CANYON WATER COMPANY, INC. FOR APPROVAL OF A REVISION OF THE COMPANY'S EXISTING TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF WATER SERVICE.

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF TRUXTON CANYON WATER COMPANY, INC. FOR AUTHORITY TO INCUR LONG-TERM DEBT.

DOCKET NO. W-02168A-11-0363

DOCKET NO. W-02168A-13-0309

DOCKET NO. W-02168A-13-0332

PROCEDURAL ORDER

BY THE COMMISSION:

On September 30, 2011, in Docket No. W-02168A-11-0363 ("rate docket") Truxton Canyon Water Company, Inc. ("Truxton" or "Company") filed with the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") an application for an increase in its water rates and charges, using a test year ("TY") ending June 30, 2011. Truxton's application requested authorization to increase its rates to generate an additional \$312,034 in gross revenues per year, resulting in a 97.24 percent increase over unaudited test year revenues. Truxton's application stated that the additional revenues would be obtained through having the Valle Vista Property Owner Association ("VVPOA") become a customer of Truxton.

On October 31, 2011, the Commission's Utilities Division ("Staff") issued a Letter of Sufficiency in this docket stating that Truxton's application had met the sufficiency requirements as outlined in A.A.C. R14-2-103 and that Truxton had been classified as a Class C utility.

On November 4, 2011, by Procedural Order, the rate case hearing was set to begin on May 7, 2012, and other procedural deadlines were established.

26

27

28

28 .

On December 1, 2011, VVPOA filed a Motion to Intervene in this proceeding, which was granted on January 3, 2012, by Procedural Order.

Subsequently, on January 31, 2012, Staff filed a Motion to Suspend Timeclock; the timeclock in the rate docket was suspended; the hearing date was used for the taking of public comments; and Staff was directed to file a request to reinstate the timeclock and a request to reset the procedural schedule, once Staff had received the Company's outstanding data responses.

On September 5, 2012, Staff filed a Request for Procedural Order, requesting that the Commission order Truxton to update its application with revised data reflecting a new TY using the twelve months ending June 30, 2012, and that the Company be given until October 31, 2012, to provide the updated data.

On September 26, 2012, a Procedural Conference was held as scheduled. Staff, Truxton, and VVPOA appeared through counsel. During the procedural conference, the parties stated that Truxton and Staff had reached an agreement, in which Truxton agreed that it would amend its rate application using a 2012 TY.

On February 15, 2013, Truxton docketed an amended rate case application, using a TY ending December 31, 2012, and requesting an increase in its rates to generate an additional \$300,000 in gross revenues per year, over unaudited test year revenues.

Truxton filed updated rate case supporting documents a week later.

On August 26, 2013, Staff filed a Request to Reinstate Timeclock and Reset Procedural Schedule, stating that Staff had received sufficient information to enable it to complete its direct testimony, and requesting that the timeclock in this matter be reinstated and the procedural schedule be reset.

On October 2, 2013, a Procedural Order was issued scheduling the hearing in this matter, establishing other procedural requirements and deadlines, and reinstating the timeclock in this matter.

On September 11, 2013, in Docket No. W-02168A-13-0309 ("service docket"), the Company filed with the Commission an application for approval of a revision of the Company's existing terms

1 2 3

4

5 6

7 8

9

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

26 27

28

and conditions of water service. On September 30, 2013, in Docket No. W-02168A-13-0332 ("financing docket") the Company filed with the Commission an application for authority to incur long-term debt.

On October 10, 2013, Staff filed, in the rate docket, the service docket, and the financing docket, Staff's Motion to Consolidate, requesting that the three dockets be consolidated because they are interrelated and their outcomes will impact each other. Staff also requested that the procedural schedule for the rate docket be retained and used for the consolidated matter. Staff asserted that both Truxton and VVPOA had been consulted and indicated no objection to Staff's proposal.

No objection has been filed.

Due to the interrelatedness of the rate docket, service docket, and financing docket, it is reasonable and appropriate to consolidate the dockets into one matter, which will move forward in accordance with the established procedural schedule for the rate docket.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Docket Nos. W-02168A-11-0363, W-02168A-13-0309, and W-02168A-13-0332 are hereby consolidated.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the consolidated matter shall proceed according to the schedule established in the Procedural Order issued on October 2, 2013, in Docket No. W-02168A-11-0363.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Truxton Canyon Water Company, Inc. shall, by October 28, 2013, file certification of public notice for its financing application.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Ex Parte Rule (A.A.C. R14-3-113-Unauthorized Communications) applies to this proceeding, as the matter is now set for public hearing, and shall remain in effect until the Commission's Decision in this matter is final and non-appealable.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that all parties must comply with Arizona Supreme Court Rules 31 and 38 and A.R.S. § 40-243 with respect to the practice of law and admission pro hac vice.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that withdrawal of representation must be made in compliance with A.A.C. R14-3-104(E) and Rule 1.16 of the Rules of Professional Conduct (under Arizona Supreme Court Rule 42). Representation before the Commission includes the obligation to appear at all hearings, procedural conferences, and Open Meetings for which the matter is scheduled for

discussion, unless counsel has previously been granted permission to withdraw by the Administrative 1 Law Judge or Commission. 2 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Presiding Officer may rescind, alter, amend, or waive 3 any portion of this Procedural Order either by subsequent Procedural Order or by ruling at hearing. 4 DATED this 21 Stday of October, 2013. 5 6 7 8 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE 9 10 Copies of the foregoing mailed this day of October, 2013 to: 11 Steve Wene MOYES SELLERS & HENDRICKS LTD. 1850 North Central Avenue, Suite 1100 12 Phoenix, AZ 85004 13 Attorneys for Truxton Canyon Water Co., Inc. 14 Todd Wiley FENNEMORE CRAIG, P.C. 2394 E. Camelback Rd., Suite 600 15 Phoenix, AZ 85016 Attorneys for Intevenor Valle Vista Property Owner Association 16 Michael Neal, Statutory Agent Truxton Canyon Water Co., Inc. 7313 E. Concho Drive, Suite B 17 Kingman, AZ 86401 18 Janice Alward, Chief Counsel Legal Division
ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
1200 West Washington Street 19 20 Phoenix, Arizona 85007 21 Steven M. Olea, Director ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 1200 West Washington Street 22 Phoenix, Arizona 85007 23 24 By: Tammy Velarde Assistant to Vvette B. Kinsey 25 26 27

28